	2
	3
	4
	5
	5 6 7 8 9
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
16	12
382-58	13
(70Z)	14
382-0711 FAX: (702) 382	15
82-071	16
(702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816	17
	18
	19
	20

1.

27

28

1	Marquis Aurbach Coffing						
2	Craig R. Anderson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6882						
	10001 Park Run Drive						
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145						
4	Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816						
_	canderson@maclaw.com						
5	Attorneys for Defendants LVMPD, Ofc. Fryman, Ofc. Emerton, Ofc. Ferguson and Ofc. Locher						
6	Localei						
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT					
/	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA					
8							
9	JEANNE LLERA and JORGE L. GOMEZ, as the appointed co-special administrators of	Case Number: 2:20-cv-01589-RFB-BNW					
	the estate of JORGE A. GOMEZ; JEANNE	2.20 CV 01307 IXI B BIVW					
10	LLERA and JORGE L. GOMEZ,	STIDIU ATION AND ODDED TO					
11	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY					
12	ŕ	(CINTH DECLECT)					
12	VS.	(SIXTH REQUEST)					
13	LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE						
14	DEPARTMENT; RYAN FRYMAN; DAN EMERTON; VERNON FERGUSON;						
	ANDREW LOCHER; JOHN SQUEO and						
15	DOES 2-10, inclusive,						
16	Defendants.						
17							
1 /	Pursuant to LR 6-1 and LR 26-4. Plai	ntiffs, by and through their counsel of record					
18	·	•					
19	Eric Valenzuela, Esq., of Law Offices of Dale	K. Galipo; Defendants LVMPD, Ofc. Fryman					
	Ofc. Emerton, Ofc. Ferguson and Ofc. Locher	("LVMPD Defendants"), by and through thei					
20	counsel of record, Craig R. Anderson, Esq., of	Marguis Aurhach, and Dafondant Officer John					
21	counsel of fecold, Clarg K. Aliderson, Esq., of	Marquis Auroach, and Defendant Officer John					
22	Squeo ("Defendant Squeo"), by and through h	is counsel of record, Daniel R. McNutt, Esq. o					
22	McNutt Law Firm, P.C., hereby stipulate a	nd request that this Court extend discovery					
23	McNutt Law Firm, P.C., hereby stipulate and request that this Court extend discovery						
24	deadlines in the above-captioned matter sixty	(60) days, up to and including April 15, 2022					
	In support of this stipulation and request, the p	parties state as follows:					
25	I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY						
26	I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY						

On August 29, 2020, the Plaintiffs filed their Complaint. ECF No. 1.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FAX: (702) 382-5816

382-0711 16

(702) 17

2.	On October 1, 2020, the LVMPD Defendants filed their Answer to Plaintiffs
Complaint.	ECF No. 11.

- 3. On November 20, 2020, this Court entered the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. ECF No. 14.
- 4. On February 17, 2021, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint in this matter. ECF No. 21. The First Amended Complaint named a new defendant – John Squeo.
- 5. On March 3, 2021, Defendants LVMPD, Fryman, Emerton, Ferguson and Locher filed their Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. ECF No. 25.
- 6. On March 17, 2021, new Defendant John Squeo filed his Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. ECF No. 29. Defendant Squeo is represented by new counsel and this Answer marked his first appearance in this litigation.

II. **DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE**

- 1. The original parties participated in the FRCP 26 conference on November 13, 2020. Defendant Squeo did not participate as he was not named a defendant.
- 2. The parties agreed to stipulate to extend the time to serve Rule 26 disclosures until after LVMPD had completed its internal criminal investigation into the subject event and released its internal documents to defense counsel.
- 3. On November 20, 2020, the Court entered the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. ECF No. 14.
- 4. On January 5, 2021, the Plaintiffs served their Initial Disclosures of Witnesses and Documents pursuant to FRCP 26.
- 5. On January 5, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their Initial Disclosures of Witnesses and Documents pursuant to FRCP 26.
- 6. On January 5, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served written discovery on all named Plaintiffs.
- 7. On January 6, 2021, the Plaintiffs served requests for production of documents on Defendant LVMPD.

1	8.	On February 1, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their first supplemental
2	disclosure.	
3	9.	On March 5, 2021, Plaintiffs served their responses to the LVMPD
4	Defendants'	discovery requests.
5	10.	On March 15, 2021, LVMPD served their individual responses to Plaintiffs'
6	written disco	very.
7	11.	On March 15, 2021 LVMPD served their second supplemental disclosure.
8	12.	On March 17, 2021, John Squeo served a demand for all prior discovery
9	exchanged.	
10	13.	On March 29, 2021, Plaintiffs served requests for production of documents on
11	Defendant So	queo.
12	14.	On March 30, 2021, Defendant Squeo provided his initial disclosures.
13	15.	In April 2021, plaintiffs took the depositions of LVMPD witness officers
14	Connell, Luo	to, Velasco, Oniate, Johnson, Perez, and Borggard.
15	16.	In April and May 2021, plaintiffs took the depositions of LVMPD defendant
16	officers Loch	er, Ferguson, Squeo and Fryman.
17	17.	On April 7, 2021, Defendant Squeo provided his first supplemental disclosure.
18	18.	On April 14, 2021, Defendant Squeo served written discovery on Plaintiffs.
19	19.	On April 19, 2021, Defendant Squeo provided his second supplemental
20	disclosure.	
21	20.	On April 28, 2021, Defendant Squeo responded to Plaintiffs' written
22	discovery.	
23	21.	On April 29, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their third supplemental
24	disclosure.	
25	22.	On May 6, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their fourth supplemental
26	disclosure.	
27	23.	On May 18 and 19, 2021, Plaintiffs responded to Defendant Squeo's written
28	discovery.	

1	24.	On May 26, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their fifth supplemental
2	disclosure.	
3	25.	In June and July, 2021, Defendants took the depositions of all named plaintiffs.
4	26.	On June 2, 2021, Plaintiffs responded to LVMPD Defendants' written
5	discovery.	
6	27.	On June 28, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their sixth supplemental
7	disclosure.	
8	28.	On June 29, 2021, Plaintiffs responded to Defendant Squeo's written
9	discovery.	
10	29.	On July 12, 2021, Defendant Squeo provided his third supplemental disclosure.
11	30.	On July 14, 2021, Defendant Squeo served written discovery on Plaintiffs.
12	31.	On August 10, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their seventh
13	supplemental	disclosure.
14	32.	On August 11, 2021, Plaintiffs responded to Defendant Squeo' written
15	discovery.	
16	33.	On August 12, 2021, Plaintiffs served written discovery on Defendant
17	LVMPD.	
18	34.	On August 12, 2021, Plaintiffs responded to Defendant Squeo's written
19	discovery.	
20	35.	On August 16, 2021, LVMPD responded to Plaintiffs' written discovery.
21	36.	On August 31, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants and Defendant Squeo served
22	their joint exp	pert disclosure.
23	37.	On August 31, 2021, the Plaintiffs served their expert disclosure.
24	38.	On November 5, 2021, the Plaintiffs served their First Supplemental
25	Disclosure.	
26	39.	On November 10, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants served their Eighth
27	Supplemental	Disclosure.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

40.	On	November	15,	2021,	the	LVMPD	Defendants	served	their	Nint
Supplemental	Disc	losure.								

- 41. On November 24, 2021, Defendant Squeo served his Fourth Supplemental Disclosure.
- 42. On December 13, 2021, Defendant Squeo served his Fifth Supplemental Disclosure.
 - 43. On December 15, 2021, the Plaintiffs served their Rebuttal Expert Disclosure.
- 44. On December 14, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants and Defendant Squeo served their Joint Rebuttal Expert Disclosure.
- 45. The week of December 13, 2021, Defendant Squeo took the depositions of four(4) LVMPD officers who witnessed portions of the events.
- 46. On December 16, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants responded to Plaintiff Llera's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents.
 - 47. All parties have retained expert witnesses in this matter.
 - 48. All parties have served numerous subpoenas on various third parties.

III. WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED

This case involves the June 1, 2020, fatal officer involved shooting of Jorge A. Gomez, Jr. The parties have actively litigated his case for the past year. The parties disclosed initial experts and rebuttal expert reports were submitted on December 14, 2021. Plaintiffs recently disclosed two percipient witnesses not previously known to Defendants.

The parties have learned that scheduling expert depositions will be difficult prior to the current deadline due to the holidays. In addition, the Defendants just recently learned that it needs to depose two percipient witnesses.

IV. REMAINING DISCOVERY

- 1. Plaintiffs need to take the depositions of the Defendants' police practices expert, medical experts and rebuttal experts.
- 2. Defendants need to take the depositions of the Plaintiffs' police practices expert, medical expert, and rebuttal experts.

3. Defendants need to depose two percipient witnesses recently disclosed by Plaintiffs.

This section does not limit the parties' ability to conduct other discovery.

V. <u>EXTENSION OR MODIFICATION OF THE DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER</u>

LR 26-4 governs modifications of extensions of the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. Any stipulation or motion must be made no later than twenty-one (21) days before the expiration of the subject deadline, and comply fully with LR 26-4. The parties are submitting this request twenty-one (21) days before the expert deadline disclosure. Therefore, the parties respectfully request that the modification of a scheduling order be granted. The following is a list of the current discovery deadlines and the parties' proposed extended deadlines.

Scheduled Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2)	December 14, 2021	Passed
Dispositive Motion	February 15, 2022	April 15, 2022
Discovery Cut-Off	January 18, 2022	March 18, 2022
Joint Pre-Trial Order	March 18, 2022	May 18, 2022 (if dispositive motions are filed the deadline for filing the joint pre-trial order will be suspended until 30 days after a decision on the dispositive motions or further court order)

This request for extensions of time is not sought for any improper purpose or for purposes of delay. Due to firm trial settings and the availability of expert witnesses, it has proven difficult to complete the remaining discovery in the current time period.

///

///

///

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this court extend the discovery dates as outlined in accordance with the table above.

IT IS SO STIPULATED this 20th day of December, 2021.

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO

By: <u>s/Craig R. Anderson</u>
Craig R. Anderson, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6882
10001 Park Run Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Attorney for LVMPD Defendants

By: <u>s/Eric Valenzuela</u>
Eric Valenzuela, Esq.
CA Bar No. 284500 (Pro Hac Vice)
21800 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 310
Woodland Hills, California 91367
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

MCNUTT LAW FIRM

By: <u>s/Daniel R. McNutt</u>
Daniel R. McNutt, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 7815
625 South Eighth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorney for Defendant Squeo

ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: 12:30 pm, December 21, 2021

BRENDA WEKSLER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE